

REMARKS

The Office Action of March 21, 2006 has been carefully considered. IN response thereto, the claims have been amended as set forth above. Reconsideration and allowance in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-6 have been canceled and replaced by new claims 7-9. The new claims are believed to avoid the deficiencies of claims 1-6 noted in the Office Action.

The scope of new claim 7 is similar to that of canceled claim 2, which was rejected as being unpatentable over EP1083563, the Office Actions stating that "A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955)."

While that may indeed be the case in general, there are numerous instances in which a change in size was recognized as conferring patentability. For example, in *Ex parte Luan Tran et al.* (copy attached), the Board took that the position that a change in size resulted in a change in function, upholding patentability.

The ability to conveniently hold a computer readable storage medium in ones wallet is manifestly a change in function, one that is achieved in accordance with the present invention and one that is not envisioned by the prior art cited.

Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claims 7-9 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Michael J. Ure, Reg. 33,089

Dated: June 12, 2006